

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,137	12/01/2005	Yoshinori Suzuki	101621-16	4375	
27387 NORRIS MCI	7590 11/16/2007 LAUGHLIN & MARCU		EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AVE			THOMPSON	THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, I		·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1794		
	,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/543,137.	SUZUKI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Camie S. Thompson	1794
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any rej	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			• .
2a)□ 1 3)□ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	n of Claims	•	
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicatio	n Papers		
10) T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference drawing sheet(s) including the correction he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau te the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s		-	
2) D Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/05; 11/04/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Application/Control Number:

10/543,137 Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oosedo et al., U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2002/007022.

Oosedo discloses a carbon fiber reinforced resin composite comprising a carbon reinforcing fiber impregnated in a resin composition (see paragraph 0015). The reference also discloses that the composition comprises an epoxy group containing vinyl ester resin (see paragraphs 0102-0103). The reference also discloses a curing agent for the epoxy resin wherein the curing agent is an imidazole as per instant claims 3 and 8 (see paragraph 0042). It is disclosed in paragraph 0127 that the composition comprises an organic peroxide as a curing agent as per instant claim 2 and

7. Paragraph 0124 discloses that the composition comprises a polymerizable monomer. Paragraph 0104 of the reference discloses that the polymerizable unsaturated bond for the resin is an acrylic acid or methacrylic acid as per instant claims 4 and 9. Paragraph 0217 of the reference discloses that the composition is produced by kneading the resin mixture as per instant claims 11 and 12. The reference claims disclose that the epoxy group has an equivalent of 0.5 to 5 parts by weight and that the ethylenically unsaturated group has an equivalent of .5 to 20 parts by weight. The reference does not disclose that the carbon fiber is impregnated in the composition in an amount of 0.5 to 5% by mass. However, this is an optimizable feature. Discovery of optimum

Application/Control Number:

10/543,137

Art Unit: 1794

values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art *in re Boesch*, 617 f.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The amount of reinforcing fiber (carbon fiber) affects the compressive strength of the composite. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the carbon fiber impregnated in the composition in the amount of 0.5 to 5% by mass in order to have a composite material that has high compressive strength along with high interlaminar shear strength.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached at (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER